

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/616,776 07/10/2003		Craig Heacock	CP241	1994	
46347	7590 09/18/2006		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP I LIBERTY PLACE			AHMED, HASAN SYED		
46TH FLOOF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		1615		
			DATE MAILED: 00/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/616,776	HEACOCK ET AL.	HEACOCK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hasan S. Ahmed	1615			

	Hasan S. Ahmed	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The assessed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acanea				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, so (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 49-86 and 133-145.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	Is to provide a I).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation to claims 49 and 134 changes the scope of the originally presented claims, thus further search and consideration is required by the examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The process of forming a pharmaceutical composition by combining modafinil particles from discrete lots is not essential to a determination of patentability of the composition disclosed. As explained by the court in In re Thorpe et. al. (CAFC 1985) 779 F2d 695, "A claim to a composition defined by reference to the process by which it is produced, is not limited to compositions produced by the process recited in the claim." Thus, the newly added limitation does not overcome the 35 USC 103(a) of record.

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600